## INFORMATION SHEET

## DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

| DISTRICT OFFICE:<br>FILE NUMBER:                 | Omaha<br>200460412                                    | <u> </u>                 |  |  |
|--|---|--------------------------|--|--|
| REGULATORY PROJECT MANAGER:                      | ERHARDT   | Date: <u>06/28/2004</u>  |  |  |
| PROJECT REVIEW/DETERMINATION COMPLETE            | D: In the office <u>Y</u> (Y<br>At the project site _ |                          |  |  |
| PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION:                    |   |                          |  |  |
| State:   | _   | North Dakota             |  |  |
| County:  |   | Burke County             |  |  |
| Center coordinates of site by latitude & longitu | Lat: 48-39-35.4459                                    |                          |  |  |
|  |   | Long: 102-49-5.2691      |  |  |
| Approximate size of site/property (including up  | 60.53 acres   |                          |  |  |
| Name of waterway or watershed:                   | ,   | Lake Sakakawea Watershed |  |  |

## SITE CONDITIONS:

| 0-1 ac | 1-3 ac | 3-5 ac | 5-10 ac | 10-25 ac | 25-50 ac | > 50 ac | Linear<br>feet | Unknown |
|--------|--------|--------|---------|----------|----------|---------|----------------|---------|
|        |        |        |         |          |          |         |                |         |
|        |        |        |         |          |          |         |                |         |
|        |        |        |         |          |          |         |                |         |
|        |        |        |         |          |          |         |                |         |
|        |        |        |         |          |          |         |                |         |
|        |        |        |         |          |          |         |                |         |
| 4      | 1      |        | 1       |          |          |         |                |         |
|        |        |        |         |          |          |         |                |         |
|        |        |        |         |          |          |         |                |         |
|        |        |        |         |          |          |         |                |         |
|        |        |        |         |          |          |         |                |         |
|        |        |        |         |          |          |         |                |         |
|        |        |        |         |          |          |         |                |         |
| _      |        |        |         |          |          |         |                |         |
|        |        |        |         |          |          |         |                | feet    |

<sup>1</sup>Check appropriate boxes that best describe type of isolated, non-navigable, intra-state water present and best estimate for size of non-jurisdictional aquatic resource area.

| Migratory Bird Rule Factors <sup>1</sup> :                                      | If Known       |             | If Unknown<br>Use Best Professional Judgment |                     |                         |  |
|---|----------------|-------------|--|---------------------|-------------------------|--|
|   | Yes            | No          | Predicted                                    | Not Expected to     | Not Able To Make        |  |
|   |                |             | to Occur                                     | Occur               | Determination           |  |
| Is or would be used as habitat for birds protected by                           |                |             | Х  |                     |                         |  |
| Migratory Bird Treaties?  |                |             |  |                     |                         |  |
| Is or would be used as habitat by other migratory birds that cross state lines? |                |             | Х  |                     |                         |  |
| Is or would be used as habitat for endangered species?                          |                |             |  |                     | X                       |  |
| Is used to irrigate crops sold in interstate commerce?                          |                |             |  | Х                   |                         |  |
| Check appropriate haves that hest describe notential for appli                  | cobility of th | o Migratory | Ried Dulo to                                 | annly to onsite non | iurisdictional isolated |  |

<sup>1</sup>Check appropriate boxes that best describe potential for applicability of the Migratory Bird Rule to apply to onsite, non-jurisdictional, isolated, non-navigable, intra-state aquatic resource area.

## TYPE OF DETERMINATION:

Preliminary \_\_\_ Or Approved X .

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUPPORTING NJD (e.g., paragraph 1 – site conditions; paragraphs 2-3 – rationale used to determine NJD, including information reviewed to assess potential navigation or interstate commerce connections; and paragraph 4 – site information on waters of the U.S. occurring onsite): A review of maps and other available information, including, but not limited to, USGS Topo Quads, FWS NWIs, NRCS Soil Survey and FR with locations of designated critical habitat for the Piping Plover identified was done in conjunction with this jurisdictional determination. Approximately 60.53 acres of property is included in this jurisdictional determination. Located on the parcel are six (6) isolated, intrastate, non-navigable wetland basins. Wetlands are 5.97 acres, 0.16 acre, 1.14 acres, 0.25 acre, 0.27 acre and 0.24 acre for a total of 8.03 acres. Impact of 0.05 acre is anticipated at the 5.97 acre wetland for a wetland and wildlife enhancement project. No tie to interstate commerce can be made other than through migratory birds. The basins are not jurisdictional based on the SWANCC ruling.